

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,759	07/09/2003	Kee Yean Ng	70021175-1	2290
7	590 03/09/2005	EXAMINER		
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DLA29 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599			FARAHANI, DANA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 03/09/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

the

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/616,759	NG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dana Farahani	2829			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 l	<u> </u>				
<u> </u>					
1	—				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex рапе Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	‡53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 4-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office A	6) Other:				
Crearing A	•	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/616,759 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shiiki et al., hereinafter Shiiki (US Patent 6,762,551), newly cited.

Shiiki discloses in figure 3 a method for fabricating a light source comprising: mounting a chip 1 having a light source on a substrate, the primary light source emitting light of a first wavelength; connecting power terminals on the chip to corresponding power terminals 3 and 4 on the substrate; and mounting a preformed transparent cap 5 over the chip, the planar sheet cap comprising a wavelength-converting material for converting a portion of the light of the first wavelength to a second wavelength.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,759 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiiki.

Shiiki substantially discloses the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the phosphor sheet 5 is single crystal. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to choose a monocrystalline phosphor to be used in the wave length changing sheet 5 in order to increase the light efficiency emitted therefrom.

5. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiiki in view of Yamashita (US Patent 4,599,537), newly cited.

Shiiki discloses the limitations in the claim, as discussed above with respect to claim 4, but does not disclose the cap being a spherical surface with constant thickness.

Yamashita discloses in figures 4 and 8, a spherical surface above a light emitting diode 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the cap layer of Shiiki in a spherical form with constant thickness, since it is customary in the art to make light radiating layers in spherical form so the emitted light from those layers converge either to a bright point or to parallel, uniform rays. These two properties of the converged light are obviously beneficial in a lot of applications for light emitters.

Art Unit: 2829

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (571)272-1706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571)272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Farahani

PAUL E. BROCK II PRIMARY EXAMINER

Coul a